

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/373,038 | 08/12/1999 | LARRY W. BELL | 98-26 | 2153 |
| 24938 | 7590 11/22/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| DAIMLERCHRYSLER INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL CORPORATION CIMS 483-02-19 | | | SHRIVER II, JAMES A | |
| 800 CHRYSLER DR EAST AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326-2757 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3618 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | - |
|------------------|--------------|---|
| 09/373,038 | BELL ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| J. Allen Shriver | 3618 | |

| | J. Allen Shriver | 3618 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | 26(a) and the consension | to extension for |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | 6 1 | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | ٠ |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an o | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | | | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 13. | C | MA | E . |
| | / | Allen Shriver | |
| | | Primary Examiner | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains that the rejections set forth based on the teachings of Van Order et al. and Knox, Jr. meet the limitations of the current claims .